

Kaiser Permanente Research Brief

Diabetes

This brief summarizes the contributions of Kaiser Permanente Research on the topic of diabetes, including type 1, type 2, and gestational diabetes since 2007.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimate that 30.3 million people in the United States – more than 9 percent of the population – are living with diabetes, and an additional 34 percent of U.S. adults have prediabetes.^[1] Prevalence of both diabetes (25 percent) and prediabetes (48 percent) is higher among adults age 65 or older than among those under age 65.

Diabetes is an active area of study for Kaiser Permanente Research. Scientists across the program have used our rich, comprehensive, longitudinal data to advance understanding of risk, improving patient outcomes, and translating research findings into policy and practice. We have published more than 840 articles related to diabetes over the past decade; together, they have been cited nearly 40,000 times.^[2]

These articles are the product of observational studies, randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, and other studies led by Kaiser Permanente scientists. The unique environment – that includes our fully integrated care and coverage model – in which our research scientists, clinicians, medical groups and health plan leaders collaborate, enables us to contribute generalizable knowledge on diabetes and many other topics of research.

Kaiser Permanente Publications Related to Diabetes since 2007



Source: Kaiser Permanente Publications Library and PLUM metrics, as of 28 December 2017.

a Number of citing journal articles, according to Scopus.

b Number of references in PubMed guidelines.

c Citations in DynaMed Plus, a point-of-care clinical reference tool.

This brief summarizes a selection of the publications contained within the Kaiser Permanente Publications Library, which indexes journal articles and other publications authored by individuals affiliated with Kaiser Permanente. The work described in this brief originated from across Kaiser Permanente's eight regions and was supported by a wide range of funding sources including internal research support as well as both governmental and non-governmental extramural funding.

Understanding Risk

Kaiser Permanente researchers have contributed to understanding the risk of developing diabetes, as well as the other risks that people with diabetes face.

Who is at risk for developing diabetes?

In adults, we have studied who is most at risk for developing type 2 diabetes. A selection of the risk factors for diabetes that Kaiser Permanente studies have assessed include fasting plasma glucose levels,^[3] use of antidepressant medications,^[4] and use of antihypertensive medication combination therapy.^[5] Factors that reduce diabetes risk, such as weight loss,^[6] have also been the subject of Kaiser Permanente research.

Gestational diabetes is an important health concern for pregnant women. One Kaiser Permanente study using data from 1999-2005 reported stable prevalence of gestational diabetes among our members, after adjusting for the increasing prevalence of pre-existing diabetes.^[7] Factors that increase the risk of developing gestational diabetes^[8-12] have been studied widely, as has risk of recurrence of gestational diabetes in subsequent pregnancies,^[12] and the risk of sustained glucose dysregulation after pregnancy among women with a history of gestational diabetes.^[13-17]

Among youth, Kaiser Permanente researchers have found significant increases over time in both incidence and prevalence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes,^[18, 19] with minorities impacted more heavily. A substantial volume of work addresses diabetes risk factors among youth, including dietary, physical activity, and weight loss factors,^[20, 21] and risk linked to maternal gestational diabetes status and other perinatal and neonatal factors.^[22, 23]

What other health risks do people with diabetes face?

People with diabetes face added health risks, including risks related to the use of

medications for treating diabetes. Kaiser Permanente research scientists have authored studies evaluating the risks of complications of diabetes and common comorbidities (for example, hypoglycemic episodes, neuropathy, retinopathy),^[24-26] risk of developing various cancers^[27-31] and risk of bone fractures.^[32, 33] Studies have also demonstrated an increase in dementia risk for people with diabetes who have experienced hypoglycemic episodes and for those with comorbid depression.^[34-36] Kaiser Permanente research has also investigated risks related to chronic conditions that are often comorbid with diabetes, such as pulmonary and cardiovascular diseases.^[28, 37]

Diabetes Remission for Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Who Received Bariatric Surgery Versus Non-Surgical Approaches

Severely Obese Adults With Diabetes

1,395

BARIATRIC SURGERIES

63,322

NON-SURGICAL APPROACHES

Diabetes Remission at 2 years

73.7%

95% CI: 70.7-76.5

6.9%

95% CI: 6.9-7.1

Hazard Ratios for Secondary Outcomes

Relapse

Lower for surgery group



0.19

95% CI: 0.15-0.23

Death

No difference between groups



0.54

95% CI: 0.22-1.30

Arterburn, D., et al., *Comparative effectiveness of bariatric surgery vs. nonsurgical treatment of type 2 diabetes among severely obese adults*. *Obes Res Clin Pract*, 2013. 7(4): p. e258-68

STUDY SPOTLIGHT

Effects of Intensive Glucose Lowering in Type 2 Diabetes.

Gerstein HC,
Miller ME, Byington RP, et al.

2008. N Engl J Med, 358(24):
2545-59

PMID: 18539917

4,438 Citations | 34 Clinical
Citations

— — —

The most-cited paper related to diabetes in the Kaiser Permanente Publications Library, this 2008 article has been widely cited in PubMed Clinical Guidelines related to diabetes, cardiovascular disease, stroke, kidney and liver transplantation, and other areas.

This study focused on patients with type 2 diabetes and either existing cardiovascular disease or heightened risk for it. The trial randomized over 10,000 patients to intensive glucose lowering therapy (HbA1c goal of 6.0) versus standard therapy (goal of 7.0-7.9), and followed them for nonfatal heart attack or stroke, and fatal cardiovascular events over an average of 3.5 years of follow-up.

The authors found that intensive glucose lowering was associated with increased mortality, and did not reduce the risk of nonfatal cardiovascular events. They concluded that this study uncovered a previously unrecognized harm associated with intensive glucose control for high-risk patients.

Kaiser Permanente authors:

Joshua I. Barzilay, MD
R. James Dudl, MD

One increasingly common risk-mitigation strategy for people with diabetes and obesity is bariatric surgery. Studies have shown that – particularly for people who are less severely obese – bariatric surgery can result in diabetes remission and a host of related benefits,^[38-41] including improved life expectancy.^[42] Even for people who experience a relapse of diabetes after a period of remission, the remission has been linked to longer-term health benefits, such as reduced risk of microvascular complications of diabetes.^[38]

Also important are the risks for babies born to women who experience gestational diabetes. Among these risks are fetal and neonatal macrosomia,^[43, 44] hypoglycemia and hyperbilirubinemia, childhood obesity, and development of autism.^[45-50]

Improving Patient Outcomes

What strategies are effective in preventing diabetes?

For people at risk of type 2 diabetes, making a timely diagnosis of prediabetes creates an opportunity to encourage lifestyle changes that can reduce the risk of developing diabetes.^[51, 52] Kaiser Permanente researchers have studied the performance of various approaches to detecting pre-diabetes,^[53] and the rate of progression from first-recorded impaired fasting glucose (an intermediate state of hyperglycemia that is abnormal but does not meet the threshold for diabetes diagnosis) to diabetes.^[54]

Approaches to prevention or risk reduction studied by Kaiser Permanente researchers include increasing knowledge about diabetes among youth,^[20, 55] lifestyle interventions for high-risk adults^[56], and personalized genetic-risk counseling.^[57]

How does early identification of diabetes affect outcomes?

Early diagnosis of diabetes relies on screening of people at risk. Early recognition of type 1 and type 2 diabetes can confer substantial treatment and outcome benefits. For example, people who are diagnosed early can enter treatment before consequences of uncontrolled diabetes occur, such as diabetic ketoacidosis.^[58]

What are the key factors in effective treatment of people with diabetes?

Glucose Control. For people with diabetes, glucose control – through self-management activities including lifestyle adaptations, self-monitoring of blood-glucose, and medication adherence – is essential to effective treatment. Diabetes-care guidelines suggest

an escalating medication treatment strategy for people with type 2 diabetes based on glucose control and responsiveness to medications. However, medications are not always escalated as recommended, even when glycemic control is inadequate,^[59] in part because of barriers to insulin initiation.^[60]

For most adults with diabetes, treatment is directed to maintain an HbA1c less than 7 percent. Kaiser Permanente studies have compared the effectiveness of alternative insulin regimens^[61-64] and glucose control targets.^[65] In particular, researchers have recently studied the appropriateness of low glycemic targets for older adults and concluded that relaxing glucose control targets (for example, up to HbA1c of 7.5 percent) for older adults can avoid hypoglycemic events and other adverse outcomes, and has few negative consequences.^[66, 67] Such real-world studies in our large membership provide valuable insight that complement clinical trials,^[68] which frequently exclude older adults and people with comorbidities.

Complications of Diabetes. Appropriate screening for serious complications of diabetes is an essential component of effective treatment. Recommended processes of care include eye exams, foot exams, and influenza immunizations. Kaiser Permanente studies have shown that documentation of these care processes is incomplete in administrative claims data^[69] and have also measured the impact of insurance continuity or coverage type on receiving recommended preventive care.^[70, 71] Even among insured people, gaps in recommended care processes are common for adults^[72] but less problematic among youth.^[73]

Comorbid Conditions. People with diabetes and multiple comorbid conditions face added challenges and risks. One of these is polypharmacy: the concurrent use of multiple prescription medications. Kaiser Permanente research has demonstrated that medication burden increases substantially for patients newly diagnosed with diabetes.^[74] Polypharmacy is linked with decreased medication adherence^[75] and increased medication interactions.^[76]

Furthermore, polypharmacy has been associated with patient falls in studies focusing on adults with diabetes.^[77]

In addition, chronic and acute conditions can be more difficult to treat in the context of diabetes than for people without diabetes. For example, surgical care of patients with diabetes and surgical treatment of diabetic foot infections is complicated by microvascular diseases that inhibit wound healing^[78, 79]. Studies have also demonstrated that people with comorbid diabetes and hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and hyperglycemia often experience both treatment non-adherence and lack of appropriate treatment intensification for these comorbidities, leading to worse outcomes.^[80]

Translating Into Policy & Practice

How has Kaiser Permanente research contributed to changes in policy and practice?

Kaiser Permanente is a learning health care system that works to systematically use research to inform and improve practice both within Kaiser Permanente and more broadly.

Within Kaiser Permanente, research, clinical, and operational partners have tested a range of interventions to prevent diabetes or improve diabetes outcomes. These have included strategies such as education, wellness, and behavior change programs focused on exercise, diet, and medication adherence,^[81-83] workplace screening and wellness programs,^[81, 84] and educational interventions specifically for women with gestational diabetes^[85] and for youth.^[55] Within Kaiser Permanente, studies have also evaluated the role of the electronic medical records (and other data assets) in promoting quality of diabetes care, identifying diabetes medication non-adherence, recognize prediabetes, and other outcomes.^[86-90]

Disease management programs, often offered by third-party vendors, are increasingly popular in the United States, widely used by

state Medicaid programs and others. Our studies assessing online and telephonic disease management or coaching programs have found that they can be effective but are not uniformly so.^[83, 91] Furthermore, these programs have been shown to face challenges related to low uptake among eligible individuals who might benefit^[92] and suboptimal level of engagement with the platform over time.^[93] Researchers have also found that linking these efforts back to primary care is challenging, even in an integrated care setting with an advanced electronic medical record system.^[94, 95]

Kaiser Permanente research contributes not only to policy and practice change within our own delivery system, but has also advanced national understanding of diabetes. To date, Kaiser Permanente authors have been cited more than 220 times within recent consensus statements and clinical practice guidelines published by a wide range of entities, including the American Diabetes Association, American Heart Association, and the American Geriatrics Association, among others. In addition, Kaiser Permanente research and clinician scientists have directly contributed as authors of 7 practice guidelines, most recently the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology’s consensus statement on the type 2 diabetes management algorithm.^[96]

Each of Kaiser Permanente’s regional research centers participate in the Health Care Systems Research Network (HCSRN), a national research network that aims to improve individual and population health through research.^[97] The SUPREME-DM study, focused on diabetes and led by a Kaiser Permanente researcher, is one of HCSRN’s cornerstone projects. Kaiser Permanente researchers have led or collaborated on many more notable studies and trials related to diabetes epidemiology, prevention, risk factors, and treatment.

Notable Studies and Clinical Trials Focusing on Diabetes

STUDY	FUNDER
Diabetes and Aging	National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases
TRIAD: Translating Research into Action for Diabetes	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
ACCORD: Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes	National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
SUPREME-DM: SUveillance, PREvention, and ManagEment of Diabetes Mellitus	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
The Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study and The HAPO Follow-Up Study	National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases and the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
The SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases
NEXT-D: Natural Experiments in Diabetes Translation	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Kaiser Permanente’s nearly 170 research scientists and more than 1,600 support staff are based at eight regional research centers and one national center. There are currently more than 2,500 studies underway, including clinical trials. Since 2007 our research scientists have published more than 12,000 articles in peer-reviewed journals. Kaiser Permanente currently serves more than 12 million members in eight states and the District of Columbia.

This brief was written by Anna C Davis, Nicholas Emptage, and Elizabeth A McGlynn. It is available online from share.kp.org/research/briefs. The authors wish to thank the following researchers for their contributions to the development of this brief: Jean M Lawrence, Julie A Schmittiel, and John F Steiner.

References

1. Centers and for Disease Control and Prevention. 2017 *National Diabetes Statistics Report*. [cited 2017 23 December]; Available from: www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/national-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf.
2. KPPL Search, conducted on December 28, 2017: (dc.title:diabet* OR dc.title:prediabet* OR dc.title:pre-diabet* OR dc.title:"SUPREME-DM" OR dc.subject.mesh:"diabetes mellitus" OR dc.subject.mesh:"diabetes complications" OR dc.subject.mesh:"diabetes, gestational" OR dc.subject.mesh:"prediabetic state") AND (dc.type:"Journal Article") AND (dc.date.issued:[2007 2017]).
3. Nichols, G.A., T.A. Hillier, and J.B. Brown, *Normal fasting plasma glucose and risk of type 2 diabetes diagnosis*. Am J Med, 2008. 121(6): p. 519-24.
4. Rubin, R.R., et al., *Elevated depression symptoms, antidepressant medicine use, and risk of developing diabetes during the diabetes prevention program*. Diabetes Care, 2008. 31(3): p. 420-6.
5. Cooper-DeHoff, R.M., et al., *Antihypertensive drug class interactions and risk for incident diabetes: a nested case-control study*. J Am Heart Assoc, 2013. 2(3): p. e000125.
6. Tinker, L.F., et al., *Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of treated diabetes mellitus in postmenopausal women: the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled dietary modification trial*. Arch Intern Med, 2008. 168(14): p. 1500-11.
7. Lawrence, J.M., et al., *Trends in the prevalence of preexisting diabetes and gestational diabetes mellitus among a racially/ethnically diverse population of pregnant women, 1999-2005*. Diabetes Care, 2008. 31(5): p. 899-904.
8. Hedderson, M.M., et al., *Body mass index and weight gain prior to pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2008. 198(4): p. 409.e1-7.
9. Hedderson, M.M. and A. Ferrara, *High blood pressure before and during early pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of gestational diabetes mellitus*. Diabetes Care, 2008. 31(12): p. 2362-7.
10. Hedderson, M.M., J.A. Darbinian, and A. Ferrara, *Disparities in the risk of gestational diabetes by race-ethnicity and country of birth*. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol, 2010. 24(5): p. 441-8.
11. Hedderson, M.M., E.P. Gunderson, and A. Ferrara, *Gestational weight gain and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus*. Obstet Gynecol, 2010. 115(3): p. 597-604.
12. Getahun, D., M.J. Fassett, and S.J. Jacobsen, *Gestational diabetes: risk of recurrence in subsequent pregnancies*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2010. 203(5): p. 467.e1-6.
13. Ferrara, A., T. Peng, and C. Kim, *Trends in postpartum diabetes screening and subsequent diabetes and impaired fasting glucose among women with histories of gestational diabetes mellitus: A report from the Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD) Study*. Diabetes Care, 2009. 32(2): p. 269-74.
14. Lawrence, J.M., et al., *Prevalence and timing of postpartum glucose testing and sustained glucose dysregulation after gestational diabetes mellitus*. Diabetes Care, 2010. 33(3): p. 569-76.
15. Kim, C., et al., *Risk perception for diabetes among women with histories of gestational diabetes mellitus*. Diabetes Care, 2007. 30(9): p. 2281-6.
16. Ferrara, A. and S.F. Ehrlich, *Strategies for diabetes prevention before and after pregnancy in women with GDM*. Curr Diabetes Rev, 2011. 7(2): p. 75-83.
17. Gunderson, E.P., et al., *A 20-year prospective study of childbearing and incidence of diabetes in young women, controlling for glycemia before conception: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study*. Diabetes, 2007. 56(12): p. 2990-6.
18. Mayer-Davis, E.J., et al., *Incidence Trends of Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes among Youths, 2002-2012*. N Engl J Med, 2017. 376(15): p. 1419-1429.
19. Dabelea, D., et al., *Prevalence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes among children and adolescents from 2001 to 2009*. JAMA, 2014. 311(17): p. 1778-86.
20. Healthy Study Group et al., *A school-based intervention for diabetes risk reduction*. N Engl J Med, 2010. 363(5): p. 443-53.
21. Lawrence, J.M., et al., *Diabetes in Hispanic American youth: prevalence, incidence, demographics, and clinical characteristics: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study*. Diabetes Care, 2009. 32 Suppl 2:S123-32.: p. S123-32.
22. Pettitt, D.J., et al., *Association between maternal diabetes in utero and age at offspring's diagnosis of type 2 diabetes*. Diabetes Care, 2008. 31(11): p. 2126-30.
23. Crume, T.L., et al., *Long-term impact of neonatal breastfeeding on childhood adiposity and fat distribution among children exposed to diabetes in utero*. Diabetes Care, 2011. 34(3): p. 641-5.
24. Hsu, C.Y., et al., *The risk of acute renal failure in patients with chronic kidney disease*. Kidney Int, 2008. 74(1): p. 101-7.
25. Lawrence, J.M., et al., *Pelvic floor disorders, diabetes, and obesity in women: findings from the Kaiser Permanente Continence Associated Risk Epidemiology Study*. Diabetes Care, 2007. 30(10): p. 2536-41.

26. Lipska, K.J., et al., *HbA1c and Risk of Severe Hypoglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes: The Diabetes and Aging Study*. Diabetes Care, 2013. 36(11): p. 3535-42.
27. Darbinian, J.A., et al., *Glycemic status and risk of prostate cancer*. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 2008. 17(3): p. 628-35.
28. Ehrlich, S.F., et al., *Patients diagnosed with diabetes are at increased risk for asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary fibrosis, and pneumonia but not lung cancer*. Diabetes Care, 2010. 33(1): p. 55-60.
29. Habel, L.A., et al., *Cohort Study of Insulin Glargine and Risk of Breast, Prostate, and Colorectal Cancer Among Patients With Diabetes*. Diabetes Care, 2013. 36(12): p. 3953-60.
30. Lewis, J.D., et al., *Pioglitazone Use and Risk of Bladder Cancer and Other Common Cancers in Persons With Diabetes*. JAMA, 2015. 314(3): p. 265-77.
31. Yuhara, H., et al., *Is Diabetes Mellitus an Independent Risk Factor for Colon Cancer and Rectal Cancer?* Am J Gastroenterol, 2011. 106(11): p. 1911-21.
32. Schwartz, A.V., et al., *Pentosidine and increased fracture risk in older adults with type 2 diabetes*. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2009. 94(7): p. 2380-6.
33. Schwartz, A.V., et al., *Association of BMD and FRAX score with risk of fracture in older adults with type 2 diabetes*. JAMA, 2011. 305(21): p. 2184-92.
34. Exalto, L.G., et al., *Severe Diabetic Retinal Disease and Dementia Risk in Type 2 Diabetes*. J Alzheimers Dis, 2014. 42 Suppl 3:S109-17.: p. S109-17.
35. Katon, W., et al., *Association of Depression With Increased Risk of Dementia in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: The Diabetes and Aging Study*. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2012. 69(4): p. 410-7.
36. Whitmer, R.A., et al., *Hypoglycemic episodes and risk of dementia in older patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus*. JAMA, 2009. 301(15): p. 1565-72.
37. Ferrara, A., et al., *Sex disparities in control and treatment of modifiable cardiovascular disease risk factors among patients with diabetes: Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD) Study*. Diabetes Care, 2008. 31(1): p. 69-74.
38. Coleman, K.J., et al., *Long-Term Microvascular Disease Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes After Bariatric Surgery: Evidence for the Legacy Effect of Surgery*. Diabetes Care, 2016. 39(8): p. 1400-7.
39. Arterburn, D.E., et al., *A Multisite Study of Long-term Remission and Relapse of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Following Gastric Bypass*. Obes Surg, 2013. 23(1): p. 93-102.
40. Arterburn, D., et al., *Comparative effectiveness of bariatric surgery vs. nonsurgical treatment of type 2 diabetes among severely obese adults*. Obes Res Clin Pract, 2013. 7(4): p. e258-68.
41. Black, M.H., et al., *Prevalence of asthma and its association with glycemic control among youth with diabetes*. Pediatrics, 2011. 128(4): p. e839-47.
42. Schauer, D.P., et al., *Impact of bariatric surgery on life expectancy in severely obese patients with diabetes: a decision analysis*. Ann Surg, 2015. 261(5): p. 914-9.
43. Black, M.H., et al., *The relative contribution of prepregnancy overweight and obesity, gestational weight gain, and IADPSG-defined gestational diabetes mellitus to fetal overgrowth*. Diabetes Care, 2013. 36(1): p. 56-62.
44. Sacks, D.A., et al., *Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes Using The International Association of the Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Criteria: Glycemic Thresholds and Associated Risks*. Obstet Gynecol, 2015. 126(1): p. 67-73.
45. Hillier, T.A., et al., *Excess gestational weight gain: modifying fetal macrosomia risk associated with maternal glucose*. Obstet Gynecol, 2008. 112(5): p. 1007-14.
46. Hillier, T.A., et al., *Impact of Maternal Glucose and Gestational Weight Gain on Child Obesity over the First Decade of Life in Normal Birth Weight Infants*. Matern Child Health J, 2016. 20(8): p. 1559-68.
47. Ferrara, A., et al., *Pregnancy plasma glucose levels exceeding the American Diabetes Association thresholds, but below the National Diabetes Data Group thresholds for gestational diabetes mellitus, are related to the risk of neonatal macrosomia, hypoglycaemia and hyperbilirubinaemia*. Diabetologia, 2007. 50(2): p. 298-306.
48. Ehrlich, S.F., et al., *The risk of large for gestational age across increasing categories of pregnancy glycemia*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2011. 204(3): p. 240.e1-6.
49. Ehrlich, S.F., et al., *Pregnancy Glucose Levels in Women without Diabetes or Gestational Diabetes and Childhood Cardiometabolic Risk at 7 Years of Age*. J Pediatr, 2012. 161(6): p. 1016-21.
50. Xiang, A.H., et al., *Association of maternal diabetes with autism in offspring*. JAMA, 2015. 313(14): p. 1425-34.
51. Tuso, P., *Prediabetes and lifestyle modification: time to prevent a preventable disease*. Perm J, 2014. 18(3): p. 88-93.
52. Almeida, F.A., et al., *Reach and effectiveness of a weight loss intervention in patients with prediabetes in Colorado*. Prev Chronic Dis, 2010. 7(5): p. A103. Epub 2010 Aug 15.

53. Lorenzo, C., et al., *A1C between 5.7 and 6.4% as a marker for identifying pre-diabetes, insulin sensitivity and secretion, and cardiovascular risk factors: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS)*. *Diabetes Care*, 2010. 33(9): p. 2104-9.
54. Nichols, G.A., T.A. Hillier, and J.B. Brown, *Progression from newly acquired impaired fasting glucose to type 2 diabetes*. *Diabetes Care*, 2007. 30(2): p. 228-33.
55. Coleman, K.J., et al., *Teen peer educators and diabetes knowledge of low-income fifth grade students*. *J Community Health*, 2011. 36(1): p. 23-6.
56. Diabetes Prevention Program Research, G., et al., *10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study*. *Lancet*, 2009. 374(9702): p. 1677-86.
57. Grant, R.W., et al., *Personalized Genetic Risk Counseling to Motivate Diabetes Prevention: A randomized trial*. *Diabetes Care*, 2013. 36(1): p. 13-9.
58. Rewers, A., et al., *Presence of diabetic ketoacidosis at diagnosis of diabetes mellitus in youth: the Search for Diabetes in Youth Study*. *Pediatrics*, 2008. 121(5): p. e1258-66.
59. Nichols, G.A., Y.H. Koo, and S.N. Shah, *Delay of insulin addition to oral combination therapy despite inadequate glycemic control: delay of insulin therapy*. *J Gen Intern Med*, 2007. 22(4): p. 453-8.
60. Karter, A.J., et al., *Barriers to insulin initiation: the translating research into action for diabetes insulin starts project*. *Diabetes Care*, 2010. 33(4): p. 733-5.
61. Nichols, G.A., et al., *Glycemic Response and Attainment of A1C Goals Following Newly Initiated Insulin Therapy for Type 2 Diabetes*. *Diabetes Care*, 2012. 35(3): p. 495-7.
62. Paris, C.A., et al., *Predictors of insulin regimens and impact on outcomes in youth with type 1 diabetes: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study*. *J Pediatr*, 2009. 155(2): p. 183-9.
63. Pihoker, C., et al., *Insulin Regimens and Clinical Outcomes in a Type 1 Diabetes Cohort: The SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study*. *Diabetes Care*, 2013. 36(1): p. 27-33.
64. Wei, N.J., et al., *Intensification of diabetes medication and risk for 30-day readmission*. *Diabet Med*, 2013. 30(2): p. e56-62.
65. Kelly, T.N., et al., *Systematic review: glucose control and cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes*. *Ann Intern Med*, 2009. 151(6): p. 394-403.
66. Lee, E.A., et al., *Improving Care in Older Patients with Diabetes: A Focus on Glycemic Control*. *Perm J*. Summer. 20(3): p. 51-6.
67. Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study, G., et al., *Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes*. *N Engl J Med*, 2008. 358(24): p. 2545-59.
68. Karter, A.J., et al., *Glycemic response to newly initiated diabetes therapies*. *Am J Manag Care*, 2007. 13(11): p. 598-606.
69. Devoe, J.E., et al., *Electronic health records vs medicaid claims: completeness of diabetes preventive care data in community health centers*. *Ann Fam Med*, 2011. 9(4): p. 351-8.
70. Gold, R., et al., *Insurance continuity and receipt of diabetes preventive care in a network of federally qualified health centers*. *Med Care*, 2009. 47(4): p. 431-9.
71. Gold, R., et al., *Receipt of diabetes preventive care among safety net patients associated with differing levels of insurance coverage*. *J Am Board Fam Med*, 2012. 25(1): p. 42-9.
72. Gregg, E.W., et al., *Characteristics of insured patients with persistent gaps in diabetes care services: the Translating Research into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD) study*. *Med Care*, 2010. 48(1): p. 31-7.
73. Waitzfelder, B., et al., *Adherence to Guidelines for Youths With Diabetes Mellitus*. *Pediatrics*, 2011. 128(3): p. 531-8.
74. Schmittiel, J.A., et al., *Prescription medication burden in patients with newly diagnosed diabetes: A SURveillance, PREvention, and ManagEment of Diabetes Mellitus (SUPREME-DM) study*. *J Am Pharm Assoc* (2014. 54(2003): p. 374-82.
75. Schwartz, D.D., et al., *Seeing the Person, Not the Illness: Promoting Diabetes Medication Adherence Through Patient-Centered Collaboration*. *Clin Diabetes*, 2017. 35(1): p. 35-42.
76. Lafata, J.E., et al., *Potential drug-drug interactions in the outpatient setting*. *Med Care*, 2006. 44(6): p. 534-41.
77. Huang, E.S., et al., *The association between the number of prescription medications and incident falls in a multi-ethnic population of adult type-2 diabetes patients: the diabetes and aging study*. *J Gen Intern Med*, 2010. 25(2): p. 141-6.
78. Adams, A.L., et al., *Surgical outcomes of total knee replacement according to diabetes status and glycemic control, 2001 to 2009*. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 2013. 95(6): p. 481-7.
79. Sagray, B.A., S. Malhotra, and J.S. Steinberg, *Current therapies for diabetic foot infections and osteomyelitis*. *Clin Podiatr Med Surg*, 2014. 31(1): p. 57-70.
80. Schmittiel, J.A., et al., *Why don't diabetes patients achieve recommended risk factor targets? Poor adherence versus*

lack of treatment intensification. *J Gen Intern Med*, 2008. 23(5): p. 588-94.

81. Schmittziel, J.A., et al., *Health-Plan and Employer-Based Wellness Programs to Reduce Diabetes Risk: The Kaiser Permanente Northern California NEXT-D Study*. *Prev Chronic Dis*, 2013. 10:E15.: p. E15.
82. Glasgow, R.E., et al., *Reach and effectiveness of DVD and in-person diabetes self-management education*. *Chronic Illn*, 2009. 5(4): p. 243-9.
83. Schmittziel, J.A., et al., *The impact of telephonic wellness coaching on weight loss: A "Natural Experiments for Translation in Diabetes (NEXT-D)" study*. *Obesity* (Silver Spring), 2017. 25(Silver Spring): p. 352-356.
84. Adams, S.R., et al., *Employer-Based Screening for Diabetes and Prediabetes in an Integrated Health Care Delivery System: A Natural Experiment for Translation in Diabetes (NEXT-D) Study*. *J Occup Environ Med*, 2015. 57(11): p. 1147-53.
85. Ferrara, A., et al., *Referral to telephonic nurse management improves outcomes in women with gestational diabetes*. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 2012. 206(6): p. 491.e1-5.
86. Reed, M., et al., *Outpatient electronic health records and the clinical care and outcomes of patients with diabetes mellitus*. *Ann Intern Med*, 2012. 157(7): p. 482-9.
87. Reed, M., et al., *Implementation of an outpatient electronic health record and emergency department visits, hospitalizations, and office visits among patients with diabetes*. *JAMA*, 2013. 310(10): p. 1060-5.
88. Parker, M.M., et al., *An algorithm to identify medication nonpersistence using electronic pharmacy databases*. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2015. 22(5): p. 957-61.
89. Cebul, R.D., et al., *Electronic health records and quality of diabetes care*. *N Engl J Med*, 2011. 365(9): p. 825-33.
90. Schmittziel, J.A., et al., *Novel Use and Utility of Integrated Electronic Health Records to Assess Rates of Prediabetes Recognition and Treatment: Brief Report From an Integrated Electronic Health Records Pilot Study*. *Diabetes Care*, 2014. 37(2): p. 565-8.
91. Glasgow, R.E., et al., *Outcomes of minimal and moderate support versions of an internet-based diabetes self-management support program*. *J Gen Intern Med*, 2010. 25(12): p. 1315-22.
92. Glasgow, R.E., et al., *Recruitment for an internet-based diabetes self-management program: scientific and ethical implications*. *Ann Behav Med*, 2010. 40(1): p. 40-8.
93. Glasgow, R.E., et al., *Engagement in a diabetes self-management website: usage patterns and generalizability of program use*. *J Med Internet Res*, 2011. 13(1): p. e9.
94. Glasgow, R.E., et al., *Linking internet-based diabetes self-management to primary care: lessons learned and implications for research translation and practice implementation*. *Transl Behav Med*, 2012. 2(3): p. 313-21.
95. Glasgow, R.E., *Interactive media for diabetes self-management: issues in maximizing public health impact*. *Med Decis Making*, 2010. 30(6): p. 745-58.
96. Garber, A.J., et al., *Consensus Statement by The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the Comprehensive Type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm—2016 Executive Summary*. *Endocr Pract*, 2016. 22(1): p. 84-113.
97. *Health Care Systems Research Network: Who We Are*. 2015 [cited 2018 January 22]; Available from: <http://www.hcsrn.org/en/About/>